UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/567,921 | 02/10/2006 | Taro Kurita | 284921US6PCT | 5890 | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | JACOB, AJITH | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2161 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/11/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/567,921 | KURITA, TARO | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | 7.0111107.000 | 2101 |
|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origiten than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two months of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | tter form for appeal by materially red | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | (|
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | I be entered and an explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attached. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet. | it does NOT place the application in | condition for allowance because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | |
| /Apu M Mofiz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161 | | |
| | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: This action is responsive to applicant's arguments filed on 6/30/2008 that has been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Kryloff et al. (US 2003/0028867 A1) fails to disclose archive file creating means for creating an archive file for at least one file backed up, wherein identification information of a destination terminal at which the archive file is to be decompressed is attached to the archive file so that the archive file can be decompressed only at the destination terminal specified by the identification information. For claim 1, "the archive file can be decompressed only at the destination terminal specified by the identification information" is taught by paragraphs 0024-0025 as referred in the 102 rejection above. The paragraphs mentioned teaches the availability of digital certificates, IDs and other forms of authentication that prevents unauthorized users from accessing the files to be extracted. Paragraph 0025 teaches the extraction at the user end once the identification is authenticated. This is also clearly portrayed in Figure 13 of the Kryloff reference. Applicant also argues that in the Martschitsch et al. (US 6,223,026 B1) reference, the counting is incremented instead of decremented. Since the counter in the reference and the instant application does the same function of counting [column 1, lines 31-44], the argument is moot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajith Jacob whose telephone number is 571-270-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST, Every other Friday off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJ Patent Examiner May 7, 2009